

## ROI Guide for ECM:

Real-world Approaches from Organizations that Have Successfully Secured Funding

## INTRODUCTION

When you are building a business case to invest in purchasing or expanding an ECM system, determining your organization's return on investment (ROI) is essential to securing funding. Calculating ROI is an exercise that helps you identify and quantify the business value you will gain from investing in ECM, but many organizations struggle to find an accurate methodology for calculating ROI.

This guide contains two battle-tested approaches to calculating ROI from organizations that have successfully secured funding for their ECM implementation.

- Ramsey County, MN, has put together an approach to ROI that highlights the quantitative benefits of ECM. This approach can be easily adapted to help you identify the cost savings that are possible within your organization.
- PSALM Corporation has created a cost-benefit analysis designed to help organizations that have already invested in ECM secure buy-in from additional departments. This analysis will help you identify the process improvements associated with ECM and quantify them using an efficiency rate.

We invite you to tap into their knowledge to help your organization make an informed decision to invest in ECM, reaping the benefits for many years to come!

## Ramsey County's ROI Guide for ECM

Identify areas of possible cost savings with this investment (ROI) guide.
Contributed by : Ramsey County, MN

## 1. Estimate current document handling and storage costs.

For each cost factor, find out how much is currently spent on a monthly basis. The example below is for a relatively small office, with only 10 employees that handle paper documents. Expand and adjust accordingly for your unit.

| Cost Factor | Example |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

2. Estimate the impact of a document management system on your operations. For each cost item, estimate how much of a reduction can be expected as a result of implementing ECM. Think realistically about the effect the new system will have on the enterprise operations. Build sensitivity analysis into calculations through the use of best-case and worst-case scenarios for various factors. The values below are simply suggestions.

| Cost Item | Best-Case | Worst-Case |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Time spent locating and <br> retrieving documents | $75 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Time spent filing documents | $75 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Time spent copying documents | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Number of copies generated | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Time spent recreating existing information | $90 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Time spent faxing documents | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Amount spent on offsite storage | $75 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Time spent accessing offsite storage | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Amount spent on filing supplies | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Amount spent on overnight delivery | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| services and postage | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Number of faxes sent |  |  |

3. Multiply the savings (percentages) by your initial cost factors. This provides a best- and worst-case scenario of the monthly savings that your unit will realize as a result of more efficient content management.

| Cost Factor | Example | Best-Case | Worst-Case |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor Costs |  |  |  |
| Number of FTEs who handle paper documents <br> Average hourly salary of paper-handling staff | 10 <br> \$15/hour | Assume 8 hours/day and 22 working days/month <br> Monthly cost of a minute's worth of work by each employee per day: <br> $=1 \$ 15 / \mathrm{hr} \times 22$ days x 10 FTEs)/60 = \$55 | Assume 8 hours/day and 22 working days/month. <br> Monthly cost of a minute's worth of work by each employee per day: <br> $=1 \$ 15 / \mathrm{hr} \times 22$ days x <br> 10 FTEs)/60 <br> = $\$ 55$ |
| Time spent by each FTE retrieving documents each day | 40 minutes | Assuming 75\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =40(0.25) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 550 / \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Assuming 50\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =40(0.5) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 1,100 / \text { month } \end{aligned}$ |
| Time spent by each FTE filing each day | 20 minutes | Assuming 75\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =20(0.25) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 275 / \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Assuming 50\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =20(0.5) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 550 / \text { month } \end{aligned}$ |
| Time spent by each FTE making copies each day | 5 minutes | Assuming 50\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =5(0.5) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 136 / \mathrm{month} \end{aligned}$ | Assuming 50\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =5(0.75) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 206 \end{aligned}$ |
| Time spent by each FTE recreating existing information | 20 minutes | Assuming 90\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =20(0.1) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 110 / \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Assuming 75\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =20(0.25) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 275 / \text { month } \end{aligned}$ |
| Time spent by each FTE faxing documents each day | 5 minutes | Assuming 50\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =5(0.5) \min x \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 136 \end{aligned}$ | Assuming 25\% time savings: $\begin{aligned} & =5(0.75) \min \times \$ 55 \\ & =\$ 206 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Labor Costs | \$1,207 | \$2,337 |
| Total Labor Savings |  | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 4,950-\$ 1,207 \\ & =\$ 3,743 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 4,950-\$ 2,337 \\ & =\$ 2,613 \end{aligned}$ |


| Cost Factor | Example | Best-Case | Worst-Case |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Copying Costs |  |  |  |
| Number of paper copies generated each day (pages) <br> Cost per copy (paper, toner, ink) | 200 <br> $\$ 0.015$ | Assume 50\% reduction: $\begin{aligned} & =200 / \text { day }(0.5) \times 22 \\ & \text { days } \times \$ 0.015 / \text { copy } \\ & =\$ 33 \end{aligned}$ | Assume 25\% reduction: $\begin{aligned} & =200 / \text { day }(0.75) \times 22 \\ & \text { days } \times \$ 0.015 / \text { copy } \\ & =\$ 50 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Copying Costs | \$33 | \$50 |
|  | pying Savings | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 66-\$ 33 \\ & =\$ 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 66-\$ 50 \\ & =\$ 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| Storage Costs |  |  |  |
| Amount spent on physical offsite storage per month | \$150 | Assume 75\% savings: $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 150(0.25) \\ & =\$ 38 \end{aligned}$ | Assume $50 \%$ savings: $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 150(0.5) \\ & =\$ 75 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hours spent per month accessing offsite storage | 2 hours | Assume 50\% savings: $\begin{aligned} & =2 \text { hours }(0.5) \times \$ 15 / \mathrm{hr} \\ & =\$ 15 \end{aligned}$ | Assume $25 \%$ savings: $\begin{aligned} & =2 \text { hours }(0.75) \times 15 / \mathrm{hr} \\ & =\$ 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| Amount spent on filing supplies | \$50 | Assume $50 \%$ savings: $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 50(0.5) \\ & =\$ 25 \end{aligned}$ | Assume $25 \%$ savings: $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 50(0.75) \\ & =\$ 38 \end{aligned}$ |
| New Storage Costs |  | \$78 | \$136 |
| Total Storage Savings |  | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 230-\$ 78 \\ & =\$ 152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 230-\$ 136 \\ & =\$ 94 \end{aligned}$ |
| Distribution Costs |  |  |  |
| Amount spent on overnight delivery services and postage per month | \$150 | Assume 50\% reduction: $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 150(0.5) \\ & =\$ 75 \end{aligned}$ | Assume 25\% reduction: $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 150(0.75) \\ & =\$ 113 \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of faxes sent per day (pages) <br> Cost per fax (page) | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \$ 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | Assume 50\% reduction: $\begin{aligned} & =20 / \text { day }(0.5) \times 22 \\ & \text { days } \times \$ 0.15 \\ & =\$ 33 \end{aligned}$ | Assume $25 \%$ reduction: $\begin{aligned} & =20 / \text { day }(0.75) \times 22 \\ & \text { days } \times \$ 0.15 \\ & =\$ 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| New Distribution Costs |  | \$108 | \$163 |
| Total Distribution Savings |  | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 216-\$ 108 \\ & =\$ 108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\$ 216-\$ 163 \\ & =\$ 53 \end{aligned}$ |
| New Document Handling Costs (Monthly) |  | \$1,426 | \$2,686 |
| Total Monthly Document Handling Savings |  | \$4,036 | \$2,686 |
| Annualized Document Handling Savings |  | \$48,432 | \$33,312 |

## 4. Outline the costs associated with ECM.

These costs will vary significantly from department to department, especially in the area of conversion expenses (i.e. document imaging required to convert paper documents into digital files).

## 5. Calculate ROI.

The return on investment should be the net annualized document handling savings divided by the total ECM costs, as a percentage. Using best- and worst-case scenarios should enable managers to arrive at a high and low estimate for the ROI.

## $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { Annualized Document } \\ \text { Handling Savings }\end{array}=\begin{array}{c}\text { Total ECM } \\ \text { Costs }\end{array}\right)$

- $100=\mathrm{ROI} \%$

Total ECM Costs

## 6. List additional savings and benefits gleaned from ECM.

The ROI calculations above only take into account acutely measurable benefits. Consider as well the following categories when making a business case in support of ECM. If any of the items below can be quantified, they should certainly be added to the calculations above.

- Regulatory compliance. An ECM system may be less of a choice, and more a requirement. Regulations such as HIPAA enforce guidelines for document retention and retrieval in public entities.
- Communication and collaboration. More readily available documents improve collaboration and idea-sharing among employees.
- Project management. Better access to information can shorten cycle times for projects and improve teamwork.
- Security and access control. Digitization of information allows a greater degree of control and monitoring of access to sensitive information.
- Disaster recovery planning. Computer archives can be easily backed up or sent to off-site locations. Digitized data is much less likely to be lost or misplaced.
- Consolidation of information. A central information repository makes it easier to access information, reduces error rates and multiple copies, allows easier version control and better serves clients because all client information is in one place.
- Reduced carbon footprint. The amount of paper wasted by an enterprise can be significantly reduced when using digital documents instead of paper. This not only saves on excessive cost, it also optimizes workflow.


## Create Your Own Cost-Benefit Analysis

Help other departments justify ECM adoption by creating a cost-benefit analysis.
Contributed by: Helen San Pedro, Information Technology Department Manager, PSALM Corporation

## What information do you need to create a cost-benefit analysis?

In order to create an effective cost-benefit analysis, you need to know your pre-ECM process. Ask yourself these questions:

- How many employees dealt with documents on a daily basis?
- On average, how many documents were retrieved, copied, scanned and stored daily?
- How long did it take to process those documents?
-What were your expenses?
- Onsite/offsite storage.
- Printing (paper and ink).
- Labor costs of employees.

Think about your transition to ECM. Consider:

- How much did your implementation cost?
- Software.
- Licensing.
- Hardware.
- How much time did you save on document processing?
- Retrieving.
- Scanning.
- Copying.
- Storing.
- How much did you save on hard costs?
- Paper.
- Freight charges.
- Storage charges.
- Printer maintenance.

Next set a timeframe for which you will generate the cost-benefit analysis.
We chose a month, but you can choose whichever timeframe works for you.

## How do you put together a cost-benefit analysis?

It is now time to compile all of this information into one document.

Note: The process described below relates to our particular case-your analysis may look different based on your organization's business requirements.

1. We compiled everything in Microsoft Excel. The analysis was divided into several sections:

- Document filing and retrieval.
- Document printing and reproduction.
- Document storage and freight charges.
- Laserfiche investment lexpenses associated with Laserfiche implementation).

2. In each section, we inserted one column for expenses associated with the pre-ECM practice and another column for the expenses post-implementation.
3. In order to calculate the post-implementation expenses, we used an Efficiency Rate (a rate by which time or expenses were reduced after implementation of Laserfiche). We obtained this rate from a consulting firm and then modified it based on our own experience. The Efficiency Rate varied by process.
4. We used the Efficiency Rate to calculate the new expenses as follows:
```
Expense after ECM = Expense before ECM * (1 - Efficiency Rate)
```

Example: To analyze the cost of offsite storage we took into account both the monthly cost of renting the storage facility and the cost of document retrieval. Since we are now only storing $25 \%$ of all hard-copies of documents in the facility, we used an Efficiency Rate of $75 \%$. We calculated the post-ECM expense by using the formula described above.

|  | Description | Pre-ECM <br> Expense | Efficiency Rate | Post-ECM <br> Expense |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of offsite <br> storage in a month <br> lincluding search, <br> retrieval, delivery, etc) | Monthly Offsite <br> + Retrieval Cost | $33,406.47$ | $75 \%$ | $8,351.62$ |

5. Finally, in order to make this analysis easier to share with others, we condensed the major sections:

## ECM COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

| Particulars | Current <br> Practice | Laserfiche |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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